

OFFICIAL GAZETTE



GOVERNMENT OF GOA, DAMAN AND DIU

GOVERNMENT OF GOA, DAMAN AND DIU

Food and Civil Supplies Department

Public Works Department

Principal Engineer's Office

Order

No. PWD/1477/28/71-72

Read: Report No. R&B/C/62/71 dated 17-5-71, from the Executive Engineer, Works Division II, PWD, Panaji.

Sanction of the Government is hereby conveyed to the transfer of the following village roads lying in Bicholim Taluka, to the Public Works Department, for their maintenance and improvement, with immediate effect.

Sr. No.	Name of Panchayat	Name of the road	Length in kms.
1.	Pale-Vel-guem	Velguem-Gadiawado-Cotombi.	3.575
2.	Surla-Co-tombi	Sonus-Codguinim-Surla.	2.860

This order is issued with the concurrence of the Finance Department vide their U.O. No. Fin(E)/2459/71 dated 9-6-71.

By order and in the name of the Lt. Governor of Goa, Daman and Diu.

Balcrisna R. Naique, Principal Engineer, P. W. D. and Ex-Officio Addl. Secretary to the Government.

Panaji, 6th July, 1971.

Order

No. PWD/1477/29/71-72

Read: — Report No. WDV/Adm-5/D-8/5/71-72 from the Executive Engineer Works Division VI, PWD, Margao.

Sanction of the Government is hereby conveyed to the transfer of the following village Panchayat roads, to the Public

Works Department, for their maintenance and improvement, with immediate effect.

Sr. No.	Name of the Panchayat	Name of Road	Length in Kms.
1.	Loutolim-Camorlim	Nirboga road	— 0.431
2.	Loutolim-Camorlim	Link road Naque-lim to Ambora	— 0.671

This order is issued with the concurrence of the Finance Department vide their U. O. No. Fin(E)/2460/71 dated 9-6-71.

By order and in the name of the Lieutenant Governor of Goa, Daman and Diu.

Balcrisna R. Naique, Principal Engineer, P. W. D., and Ex-Officio Addl. Secretary to the Govt.

Panaji, 6th July, 1971.

Notification

No. PWD/LA/1258/31/71

Whereas by Government Notification No. PWD/LA/1258/8/70 dated 15-4-70 published on page 26 of Series II, No. 4 of the Government Gazette, dated 23-4-70 it was notified under section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 (hereinafter referred to as «the said Act») that the land, specified in the schedule appended to the said Notification (hereinafter referred to as the «said lands») was likely to be needed for the public purpose viz. Widening and improvement to Shiroda Conxem Road.

And whereas the appropriate Government (hereinafter referred to as «the Government») is satisfied after considering the report made under sub-section (2) of Section 5A of the said Act, that the said land specified in the schedule hereto is needed to be acquired for the public purpose specified above.

Now, therefore, the Government is pleased to declare under the provisions of Section 6 of the said Act that the said land is required for the public purpose specified above.

2. The Government is also pleased to appoint under clause (c) of Section 3 of the said Act, the Land Acquisition Officer, Panaji to perform the functions of a Collector for all proceedings hereinafter to be taken in respect of the said land, and to direct him under section 7 of the said Act to take order for the acquisition of the said land.

3. A plan of the said land can be inspected at the office of the said Land Acquisition Officer, Panaji, till the award is made under Section 11.

SCHEDULE

Description of the said land

Taluka	Village	Plot No.	Survey No.	Name of the person believed to be interested	Approximate area in sq. mts.
1	2	3	4	5	6
Ponda	Shiroda	1	—	Strip of land held by Comunidade of Shiroda.	655.00

Boundaries:

North: Land of Comunidade of Shiroda.
South: PWD Road to Bori and Kamaxi Temple.
East: Land of Shri Devi Kamaxi Temple.
West: Land of Comunidade of Shiroda.

1	2	3	4	5	6
Ponda	Shiroda	2	—	Shri Devi Kamakshi Temple. <i>Boundaries:</i> North: Land of Shri Devi Kamaxi Temple. South: Land of Shri Devi Kamaxi Temple. East: Land of Smt. Radha Gurav of Shiroda. West: Land of Comunidade of Shiroda.	45.00
Ponda	Shiroda	3	—	Smt. Radha Gurav of Shiroda. <i>Boundaries:</i> North: Land of Smt. Radhabai Gurav. South: Land of Smt. Radhabai Gurav. East: Land of Shri Surya Madhav Shirodkar. West: Land of Shri Devi Kamaxi Temple.	40.00
Ponda	Shiroda	4	—	Shri Surya Madhav Shirodkar of Shiroda. <i>Boundaries:</i> North: Land of Surya Madhav Shirodkar. South: Land of Surya Madhav Shirodkar. East: Land of Shri Narayan Shirodkar of Shiroda. West: Smt. Radhabai Gurav of Shiroda.	75.00
Ponda	Shiroda	5	—	Shri Narayan Shirodkar of Shiroda. <i>Boundaries:</i> North: Land of Narayan Shirodkar of Shiroda. South: Land of Narayan Shirodkar of Shiroda. East: Land of Tulashi Savakar of Borim. West: Land of Surya Madhav Shirodkar.	100.00
Ponda	Shiroda	6	—	Tulashi Savakar of Borim. <i>Boundaries:</i> North: Land of Tulashi Savakar of Borim. South: Land of Tulashi Savakar of Borim. East: Land of Shri Vasudev Fadte and Rama-Krishna Fadte of Shiroda. West: Shri Narayan Shirodkar of Shiroda.	255.00
Ponda	Shiroda	7	—	Shri Vasudev Fadte and Shri Ramakrishna Fadte of Shiroda. <i>Boundaries:</i> North: Shri Vasudev Fadte and Shri Ramakrishna Fadte of Shiroda. South: Shri Vasudev Fadte and Shri Ramakrishna Fadte of Shiroda. East: Land of Shri Surya Madhav Shirodkar. West: Land of Tulashi Savakar of Borim.	300.00
Ponda	Shiroda	8	—	Shri Surya Madhav Shirodkar. <i>Boundaries:</i> North: Land of Shri Surya Madhav Shirodkar. South: Land of Shri Surya M. Shirodkar. East: Shri Sagun Shirodkar. West: Shri Vassudev Fadte and Shri Ramakrishna Fadte.	120.00
Ponda	Shiroda	9	—	Shri Sagun Shirodkar. <i>Boundaries:</i> North: Land of Shri Sagun Shirodkar. South: Land of Shri Sagun Shirodkar. East: Shri Yeshwant Lawande of Panaji. West: Shri Surya Madhav Shirodkar.	80.00
Ponda	Shiroda	10	—	Shri Yeshwant Lawande of Panaji. <i>Boundaries:</i> North: Land of Shri Yeshwant Lawande of Panaji. South: Land of Shri Yeshwant Lawande of Panaji. East: Land of Comunidade of Shiroda. West: Land of Shri Sagun Shirodkar.	654.00
Ponda	Shiroda	11	—	Comunidade of Shiroda. <i>Boundaries:</i> North: Comunidade of Shiroda. South: Comunidade of Shiroda. East: Shri Yeshwant Bhat Merricar of Shiroda. West: Land of Shri Yeshwant Lawande of Panaji.	490.00
Ponda	Conxem	12	—	Shri Yeshwant Bhat Merricar of Shiroda. <i>Boundaries:</i> North: Land of Shri Yeshwant Bhat Merricar of Shiroda. South: Land of Shri Yeshwant Bhat Merricar of Shiroda. East: Land of Shri Sadashiv Dhavalkar of Shiroda. West: Land of Comunidade of Shiroda.	1060.00

1	2	3	4	5	6
Ponda	Conxem	13	—	Shri Sadashiv Dhavalkar of Shiroda.	550.00
<i>Boundaries:</i>					
North: Land of Shri Sadashiv Dhavalkar of Shiroda.					
South: Land of Shri Sadashiv Dhavalkar of Shiroda.					
East: Land of Raju Purshottam Prabhu of Shiroda and Narottam Prabhu of Shiroda.					
West: Land of Yeshwant Bhat Merricar of Shiroda.					
Ponda	Conxem	14	—	Shri Raju Purshottam Prabhu of Shiroda and Shri Narottam K. Prabhu of Shiroda.	352.00
<i>Boundaries:</i>					
North: Shri Raju P. Prabhu and Shri Narottam K. Prabhu both of Shiroda.					
South: Shri Raju P. Prabhu and Shri Narottam K. Prabhu both of Shiroda and Comunidade of Shiroda.					
East: Shri Raju P. Prabhu and Shri Narottam K. Prabhu both of Shiroda.					
West: Shri Sadashiv Dhavalkar of Shiroda.					
Ponda	Village	15	—	Comunidade of Shiroda.	2880.00
<i>Boundaries:</i>					
North: Comunidade of Shiroda.					
South: Comunidade of Shiroda.					
East: Shri Venkatesh Gudo of Shiroda.					
West: Shri Raju P. Prabhu and Shri Narottam K. Prabhu both of Shiroda.					
Ponda	Village	16	—	Shri Venkatesh Gudo of Shiroda.	2460.00
<i>Boundaries:</i>					
North: Shri Venkatesh Gudo of Shiroda.					
South: Shri Venkatesh Gudo of Shiroda.					
East: Shri Venkatesh Gudo of Shiroda.					
West: Comunidade of Shiroda.					
Total					13284.00

By order and in the name of the Lieutenant Governor of Goa, Daman and Diu.

Balcrisna R. Naique, Principal Engineer, P.W.D. and Ex-Officio Addl. Secretary to the Government.

Panaji, 2nd July, 1971.

Notification

No. PWD/1258/36/71

Whereas by Government Notification No. PWD/LA/1258/73/69 dated 11-11-1969 published on page 375 of Series II, No. 34 of the Government Gazette, dated 20-11-1969 it was notified under section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 (hereinafter referred to as «the said Act») that the land, specified in the schedule appended to the said Notification (hereinafter referred to as the «said land») was likely to be needed for the public purpose viz. for construction of Police Station and residential quarters for Police personnel at Canacona.

And whereas the appropriate Government (hereinafter referred to as «the Government») is satisfied after considering the report made under sub-section (2) of Section 5A of the

said Act, that the said land specified in the schedule hereto is needed to be acquired for the public purpose specified above.

Now, therefore, the Government is pleased to declare under the provisions of Section 6 of the said Act that the said land is required for the public purpose specified above.

2. The Government is also pleased to appoint under clause (c) of Section 3 of the said Act, the Dy. Collector, South Sub-Division, Margao to perform the functions of a Collector for all proceedings hereinafter to be taken in respect of the said land, and to direct him under section 7 of the said Act to take order for the acquisition of the said land.

3. A plan of the said land can be inspected at the office of the said Dy. Collector, South Sub-Division, Margao till the award is made under Section 11.

SCHEDULE

(Description of the said land)

Taluka	Village	Plot No.	Survey No.	Name of the person believed to be interested	Approximate area in sq. mts.
1	2	3	4	5	6
Canacona	Canacona	1	—	A strip of land believed to be held by: —	5,000.00
1. Comunidade of Nagorcem-Palolem.					
Total:					5,000.00

By order and in the name of the Lieutenant Governor of Goa, Daman and Diu.

Balcrisna R. Naique, Principal Engineer, P.W.D. and Ex-Officio Addl. Secretary to the Government.

Panaji, 6th July, 1971.

Notification

No. PWD/1258/35/71

Whereas it appears to the Appropriate Government (hereinafter referred to as «the Government») that the land specified in the schedule hereto (hereinafter referred to as the «said land») is likely to be needed for public purpose viz. for 750mm raw water rising main at Opa.

Therefore the Government is pleased to notify under sub-section (1) of Section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 (hereinafter referred to as the «said Act») that the said land is likely to be needed for the purpose specified above.

2. All persons interested in the said land are hereby warned not to obstruct or interfere with any surveyor or other persons employed upon the said land for the purpose of the said acquisition. Any contracts for the disposal of the said land by sale, lease, mortgage, assignment, exchange or otherwise, or any outlay commenced or improvements made thereon without the sanction of the Collector, appointed in paragraph 4 below, after the date of the publication of this Notification, will under clause (seventh) of section 24 of the said Act, be disregarded by him while assessing compensation for such parts of the said land as may be finally acquired.

3. If the Government is satisfied that the said land is needed for the aforesaid purpose, a declaration to that effect under section 6 of the said Act will be published in the Government Gazette, in due course. If the acquisition is abandoned wholly or in part, the fact will be notified.

4. The Government is further pleased to appoint under clause (c) of Section 3 of the said Act the Land Acquisition Officer, Panaji to perform the functions of a Collector under the said Act, in respect of the said land.

5. The Government is also pleased to authorise under sub-section (2) of Section 4 of the said Act, the following officers to do the acts, specified therein in respect of the said land.

1. The Collector of Goa, Panaji.
2. The Land Acquisition Officer, Panaji.
3. The Superintending Engineer I, Circle II, P.W.D., Panaji.
4. The Executive Engineer, Works Division IX, Panaji.

6. A rough plan of the said land is available for inspection in the office of the Land Acquisition Officer, Collector's Office, Panaji for a period of 30 days from the date of publication of this Notification in the Government Gazette.

SCHEDULE

(Description of the said land)

Taluka	Village	Plot No.	Survey No.	Name of the person believed to be interested	Approximate area in sq. mts.
1	2	3	4	5	6
Ponda	Khandepar	1	219	Shri Ganapati Vinayak Gaonkar of Opa. <i>Boundaries:</i> North: Ganapati V. Gaonkar. South: Govt. land. East: Govt. land. West: Madhav Pandit.	422.49
— do —	— do —	2	212	Shri Madhav Pandit of Opa. <i>Boundaries:</i> North: Madhav Pandit. South: Govt. land. East: Ganapati V. Gaonkar. West: Shivram Vassudev Corde and Janardhan Tata Gaonkar.	433.00
— do —	— do —	3	217	Shri Shivram Vassudev Corde Curti and Janardhan Tata Gaonkar of Opa. <i>Boundaries:</i> North: Shivram Corde and Janardhan Gaonkar. South: — do — East: Madhav Pandit. West: Govt. Forest.	301.24
Total area					1156.73

By order and in the name of the Lt. Governor of Goa, Daman and Diu.

Balcrisna R. Naique, Principal Engineer, P.W.D. and Ex-Officio Addl. Secretary to the Government.

Panaji, 5th July, 1971.

Development Department 'B'

Corrigendum

No. F-1-3-70-GHB(II)

In the order No. F-1-3-70-GHB(II) dated 15-4-1971 the condition no. 1 should be read as «The supernumerary posts will be abolished as soon as the persons concerned retire or otherwise vacate the posts».

By order and in the name of the Administrator of Goa, Daman and Diu.

T. Kipgen, Development Commissioner.

Panaji, 9th July, 1971.

Industries and Power Department

Notification

No. 1-400-71-IPD

Read: Directorate General of Shipping, Bombay — Letter No. 10-Sh(8)/69 dated 10-6-1971 — Transfer of Shri R. G. Singh, Engineer and Ship Surveyor and Dy. Captain of Ports to Calcutta.

Consequent to transfer of Shri R. G. Singh, Engineer and Ship Surveyor, Mercantile Marine Department, Mormugao, who was also holding the post of Dy. Captain of Ports, Mormugao, vice Shri S. K. Berry, Engineer and Ship Surveyor, Mercantile Marine Department, Calcutta, Shri Berry is hereby appointed as Deputy Captain of Ports, Mormugao.

with effect from the date Shri Singh was relieved. The appointment is in addition to his regular charge of Engineer and Ship Surveyor, Mormugao.

He is also to hold the additional charge of Captain of Ports, Panaji and relieve Shri J. S. Gill who is to be transferred to Directorate General of Shipping, Bombay.

By order and in the name of the Administrator of Goa, Daman and Diu.

P. Noronha, Under Secretary (Industries and Labour).

Panaji, 7th July, 1971.

Labour and Information Department

Order

No. LC/1/ID(97)/70/31

Whereas on consideration of the Report dated 28th April, 1971 received under sub-section (4) of Section 12 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 47) from the Commissioner, Labour and Employment and Conciliation Officer, Panaji, in relation to the dispute between the Workmen and the Management of M/s. Agencia Commercial International, Panaji (Goa) in respect of the matters specified in the schedule appended hereto, the Lieutenant Governor of Goa, Daman and Diu is satisfied that the aforesaid dispute should be referred to the Industrial Tribunal for adjudication.

And whereas the Lieutenant Governor is of the opinion that an industrial dispute within the meaning of Section 2(K) of the said 1947 Act exists.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers contained in Clause (d) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act 1947 (14 of 1947), the Lieutenant Governor refers the dispute detailed in the schedule appended hereto to the Industrial Tribunal, Panaji, for adjudication.

SCHEDULE

"Whether under the circumstances existing at the time of termination of services of Shri R. L. Carrasco, the Management of M/s. Agencia Commercial International, Panaji was justified in terminating the services of Shri R. L. Carrasco.

If not to what relief Shri Carrasco is entitled for?"

By order and in the name of the Administrator of Goa, Daman and Diu.

P. Noronha, Under Secretary (Industries and Labour).

Panaji, 2nd July, 1971.

Order

No. LC/1/ID(9)/IT-6/70-71

The following Award given by the Industrial Tribunal, Goa, Daman and Diu, on an Industrial Dispute between the Management of M/s. Aquem Service Co-operative Society Ltd., Aquem, Margao (Goa) and the workmen employed by them, is hereby published as required vide provisions of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (XIV of 1947):—

Before Shri N. L. Abhyankar, Industrial Tribunal, Goa, Daman and Diu

Reference (IT-GDD) No. 9 of 1970

Between

The Aquem Service Co-operative Society Ltd., Aquem, Margao Goa

And

The workmen employed under it

In the matter of the legality and propriety of the order of the management terminating the service of Shri Gajanan Laxman Shirodcar and the relief if any to which he is entitled.

Appearances:

Shri Chandrakant Poll, Chairman, for the Society.

Shri Gajanan Laxman Shirodcar, workman, in person.

AWARD

This is a reference made by the Lieutenant Governor of Goa, Daman and Diu under Sec. 10(1)(d) of the Industrial Disputes Act for adjudication of the following dispute:

"Whether the action of Messrs. Aquem Service Co-operative Society Ltd., Aquem-Margao (Goa) in terminating the service of Shri Gajanan Laxman Shirodcar, with effect from 6-12-1969 is legal and justified;

If not, to what relief the workman is entitled?"

2. On the issue of notices the workman concerned filed a statement of claim. His case is that he has served the Society for about 4 years in its shop, that he was subjected to intolerable treatment including insults and assaults, that he had not committed any fault or misconduct and yet his services have been terminated. He also stated that he had taken 15 days leave from 6-11-1969 but had to over-stay his leave because his uncle fell seriously ill. His case is that this leave was sanctioned by the Society's ex-vice chairman but on account of certain differences with the present chairman that is Shri Chandrakant Poll, over trivial matters he has been discharged and his discharge is illegal. He claims reinstatement.

3. The workman has filed an additional statement giving his explanation regarding certain incidents.

4. The society resisted the claim and filed a written statement in defence. It was admitted that Shri Gajanan Laxman Shirodcar was in the employment of the society for about 4 years and that his duty was that of a salesman or a weighman in the shop run by the society. According to the society Shirodcar remained absent after 5th November 1969. There were complaints as regards his insulting behaviour from the customers and the members of the society. He used to behave arrogantly with the general public and there were many complaints in that respect. The details of the complaints have also been narrated in the written statement. As to the circumstances in which Shri Shirodcar case was discharged the society's case is that before remaining absent for almost a month from 6th November 1969 to 5th December 1969 before proceeding on leave Shirodcar was required to obtain sanction for his leave from the Managing Committee and though Shirodcar was asked, to have his leave sanctioned he did not pay any heed but remained absent though no leave was sanctioned. When he appeared on 6th December 1969 he was given a show cause notice as to why he was absent and also regarding the complaints against him. On 7th December 1969 Shirodcar sent an unsigned letter supposed to contain his explanation. At a meeting of the Managing Committee held on 24th December 1969 the members unanimously resolved that the charges against Shirodcar were proved but taking a somewhat lenient view the Committee decided that if Shirodcar tenders his resignation it should be accepted otherwise he should be paid a month's salary and discharged. Shirodcar according to the society was present at this meeting but he refused to acknowledge receipt of the resolution when communicated to him and immediately declared that he would go to court.

5. The issue which arises between the parties therefore is whether a proper inquiry was made before terminating the services of Shirodcar and whether the resolution to discharge him is proper. Alternatively it is necessary to see even if no inquiry was held whether the society has established that it was not desirable to continue Shirodcar in its service and therefore it was justified in terminating the services with a month's notice.

6. From the evidence adduced by the society it is apparent that there were complaints received against the alleged rude and improper behaviour of Shirodcar from customers as well as members of the society. One Tarabai, witness No. 1, and the Secretary of the Society Shri Vinayak Damodar Kesharkar have testified to such complaints. In fact the incident deposed to by Tarabai would show that Shirodcar was charged with indulging in physical assault on a boy customer who had come to make some purchases. From the nature of the cross-examination of these witnesses it appears that Shirodcar's case regarding this incident was that he himself was abused in turn and therefore he had admonished the boy with a light slap. Be that as it may

it is obvious that Shirodcar was not able to carry on his work without causing annoyance to the customers and the members of the society.

7. As regards remaining absent without leave being sanctioned Shirodcar has not been able to establish that leave was sanctioned to him. Even assuming that leave was sanctioned for 15 days there appears to be no justification for his continuing to remain absent after the expiry of the 15 days. This conduct of Shirodcar was capable of being constructed as a defiant attitude on the part of the employee.

8. It must be admitted that the Society did not hold any enquiry as such in the presence of Shirodcar before it came to the conclusion that the complaints were well founded and it was not desirable to retain Shirodcar in service. It would have been more in consonance with the principles of justice that an enquiry should have been held. In the absence of such an enquiry in the presence of Shirodcar therefore, the next question is whether the society was justified in terminating the services of Shirodcar on the basis of the material the society has placed before this Tribunal.

9. On a careful scrutiny of the evidence on either side it is clear that Shirodcar was not able to carry on his work without coming in conflict with the customers or the members of the society. The society which was running a commercial shop was bound to see to its interest and its reputation especially when complaints were received from customers and its members against the rude behaviour of Shirodcar. It also appears that there were incidents as testified to by the witnesses and several complaints were received by the society. This is corroborated from the copies of the complaints such as Exhibits E/6, E/7, E/8 and E/5. On two previous occasions the Managing Committee had to consider the same question as would appear from the resolutions of the Managing Committee at Exhibits E/3 and E/4. In effect the management therefore has *prima facie* established that it was no longer possible to continue Shirodcar in service because of the problems he created on account of his behaviour with the customers or the members of the Society. Whether Shri Shirodcar was at fault or the customers were at fault would not appear necessary to decide finally the issue because that is not the issue in this case. The issue is whether the society as a responsible co-operative concern could reasonably come to the conclusion on the basis of the complaints written and oral made to it that its reputation and its business would be in jeopardy if the services of Shirodcar were to be continued as a salesman. As the salesman Shirodcar would have necessarily to come into direct contact with the customers and even assuming that this was a case of a cantankerous nature, the society would be justified in relieving such an employee from his job. The material placed before the Tribunal in the form of the testimony of witnesses and the documentary evidence establishes that there were complaints and the society had material before it to come to the conclusion that the services of Shirodcar should be terminated in the interest of the smooth running of the shop of the society.

10. When the decision was communicated to Shirodcar he insisted on being paid 3 months salary instead of one month's salary as resolved by the Managing Committee, and Shirodcar therefore declined to accept the decision of the Committee and in those circumstances even one month's salary was not paid to Shirodcar. Shirodcar preferred to test the validity of his claim by adjudication for which he approached first the Labour Commissioner and through him got his case referred to this Tribunal.

11. In my opinion the decision to terminate the services of Shirodcar cannot be said to be unfair or not justified in the circumstances. Shirodcar therefore can have no

grievance that his services were terminated. At the same time Shirodcar will be entitled to one month's salary which the Managing Committee had agreed to pay but which he did not receive because he declined to receive less than 3 months salary. Under the circumstances I direct that Shirodcar should be paid one month's salary which is Rs. 115/- as has been testified by the Secretary of the society. The action taken by the society namely termination of the services is not liable to be disturbed but Shri Shirodcar should be paid a month's salary as per the resolution of the Managing Committee. I direct the society to pay this one-month's salary of Rs. 115/- to Shri Shirodcar within a month.

Bombay 8th June, 1971.

Sd/-.

N. L. ABHYANKAR
Industrial Tribunal

By order and in the name of the Administrator of Goa,
Daman and Diu.

P. Noronha, Under Secretary (Labour).

Panaji, 6th July, 1971.

Public Health Department

Notification

No. ILD/HS/2266/65-I

In pursuance of sub-section (4) of Section 30 of the Pharmacy Act, 1948 (8 of 1948) the Administrator of Goa, Daman and Diu is pleased to appoint Secretary (Health) as the appellate authority.

By order and in the name of the Administrator of Goa,
Daman and Diu.

P. Noronha, Under Secretary (Health).

Panaji, 1st July, 1971.

Notification

No. ILD/HS/2266/65-II

In pursuance of sub-section (1) of Section 30 of the Pharmacy Act, 1948, the Administrator of Goa, Daman and Diu, is pleased to constitute a Registration Tribunal consisting of the following officers.

Name	Designation
1. Dr. Alvaro Afonso	Principal, Goa Pharmacy College — Chairman
2. Dr. D. Costa Frias	Drugs Controller — Member
3. Shri Armando Cotta	Asstt. Drugs Controller — Member
4. Shri S. C. P. Colvalkar	Asstt. Drugs Controller — Registrar

By order and in the name of the Administrator of Goa,
Daman and Diu.

P. Noronha, Under Secretary (Health).

Panaji, 1st July, 1971.